# Multitrophic factors influencing aphid vector competence in a spatially heterogeneous Emily Clark<sup>1</sup>, Dr Alison Karley<sup>1</sup> and Dr Steve Hubbard<sup>2</sup> environment

Aphids harbour a number of different symbiotic bacteria that can have positive or negative effects on their survival and performance<sup>1</sup>. In addition, aphids are major insect pests of many agricultural crops, largely because they transmit a wide array of plant viruses. Aphid fitness and thus the competence of aphid clones

<sup>1</sup>Scottish Crop Research Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA <sup>2</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Nethergate, Dundee, DD1 4HN

to vector a plant virus may depend critically on the particular symbiont assemblages that they harbour, the spatial structure of the habitat, and the abiotic and biotic conditions. (temperature, humidity, plant development stage, parasitism) of their environment (Figure 1).



## Aim

To characterise and quantify the symbiont assemblage of the aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae, and to investigate the effect on their ability to vector a plant virus both directly and indirectly, by influencing aphid attack by parasitic wasps, aphid dispersal capacity and the aphid-host plant interaction.

## Study System

The cabbage aphid Brevicoryne brassicae (Figure 2) feeding on the Brassicaceae and transmitting cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) is the chosen study system. B. brassicae feeds on a range of different Brassica crops many of which are economically important in Scotland, such as brussel sprout (Brassica oleracea) and oilseed rape (Brassica napus) (Figure 3). CaMV virus is also one of the most wide spread viruses of Brassica crops worldwide. B. brassicae populations are collected in the summer of each year from a range of different field locations and Brassica types and clonal lines are reared in culture for study.

Figure 2: Brevicoryne brassicae the cabbage aphid.

> Figure 3: B. brassicae feeds on a range of different Brassica crops.

# **Methods**

#### Molecular Techniques

Secondary symbiont diversity in the selected aphid clones will be investigated using a range of molecular techniques. DNA extracted from aphid samples from the different clonal lines is used as template for PCR amplification of a 21/2 kb product incorporating the IGS (Inter-Genic Spacer) region between the 16S and

23S subunits (Figure 4). For most eubacteria other than Buchnera (the primary endosymbiont in aphids) the 16S gene is linked to the 23S gene by a region of IGS<sup>2,3</sup>. Amplifying this region using universal primer pairs (Figure 4) specific to the two genes excludes the primary symbiont Buchnera and can be used as means of detecting the other eubacteria harboured by aphids through gene cloning and sequence analysis2,3 or T-RFLP<sup>1,4</sup>.



#### Aphid Performance

A number of different experiments are being devised to test aphid performance according to endosymbiont complement. Life history traits being investigated for each aphid population on a range of different Brassica host plants and environmental conditions include fecundity, growth rate. intrinsic rate of population increase, dispersal capacity and development time.

#### Aphid- Parasitoid Interactions

Parasitised aphid material is collected from the field sites throughout the summer each year. The emergent wasps are used to routinely parasitise the B. brassicae clonal lines (Figure 5) to investigate both the interaction between parasitoid attack and endosymbiont complement and the fitness effects that influence the dynamics of parasitoid wasp populations



Figure 5: A parasitoid wasp in culture with B. brassicae

## Application of Results

Data from the project will be used to construct mathematical and statistical models of the dynamics of the system<sup>5,6</sup>. primarily to predict the spread of aphid-vectored plant disease in spatially heterogeneous arable crop systems.

These models will be a useful tool for the future management and control of aphid populations in arable crops giving the project a practical application within the agricultural industry.

Ferrari et al. (2004) Ecol. Entomol. 29, 60-65 <sup>2</sup>Unterman et al. (1989) J. Bateriol. 171, 2970-2974 Sandstrom et al. (2001) Mol. Ecol. 10, 217-228 \*Scholield et al. (2002). J. Theor. Biol. 214, 215 \*Scholield et al. (2002). J. Theor. Biol. 214, 31-47 \*Kot et al. (1996) Ecology 77(7), 2027–2042