## Metabolomics: the way forward for accelerated nutritional enhancement in soft fruit

Inger Martinussen<sup>1</sup>, Gordon McDougall<sup>2</sup> and Derek Stewart<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>BIOFORSK, Arctic Agriculture and Land Use, Tromsø, Norway <sup>2</sup>Scottish Crop Research Institute, Food Quality and Health, Dundee, UK

The evidence supporting the beneficial health effects of fruit is both accruing and historical. Furthermore berries, are increasingly becoming the focus of studies regarding their proposed ability to prevent or ameliorate the problems of degenerative diseases. The phytochemical bases of these nutritional benefits are increasingly focussing upon the chemically diverse polyphenols. The accretion of both in vitro and in vivo data has meant that the nutritional enhancement of foods, and the base materials, in this case fruit, has shifted focus away from simply vitamin C and micronutrients and towards the polyphenolics. This causes something of a problem for any breeding effort since, as has been previous highlighted, the polyphenolics

are chemically diverse and their analysis would, under normal approaches, require a considerable effort via traditional, targeted analysis, Metabolomics (LC-MS, GC-MS and NMR) may be a way forward since it can, in a single analysis report upon a significantly greater breadth of the metabolite pool and in this case the polyphenolic pool (phenolome) can be captured and reported upon in much greater detail and in much greater depth than was previously possible. The application of such technologies to breeding programmes is obvious and we report here on the application of direct infusion mass spectrometry (DIMS), a subset of metabolomics, to a segregating raspberry population grown in two distinct environments

#### Materials and Methods \*

The generation of the raspberry (Rubus ideaus) population (300 individuals), a cross between the varieties Gler Moy and Latham, used herein has been described in some detail by Graham et al [2004]. The raspberry populations used here were grown in two distinct environments (H field and B field) over many years for the purposes of plant pathogen testing. H field is a low input site where the crop was not sprayed with fungicide etc. Conversely, B field was classified as a high health site where the plants were sprayed with fungicide, regularly fertilised etc. This variation in agronomic practices and soil conditions has meant that the resultant fruit varied with respect to comparative phenotypes such as yield etc. Here we will focus only on one year's data, 2005, and for analytical purposes and as a result of selected fruit failure, etc., only 96 samples were used here for compositional analysis purposes.

The methods for the generation of the fruit juices and optimised extracts (1:1, fresh fruit; acetonitrile containing 0.1% acteic acid) and the determination of Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC) and total phenols, anthocyanins and vitamin C (Vit C) were performed exactly as described by Mylnikov et al. Direst Infusion Mass Spectrometry (DIMS) was carried out on a LCQ-Deca (ThermoFinnigan) as described by Stewart et al (2007)

### **Results and Discussion**

- Vitamin C content showed a significant variation and appeared to exhibit an environmental influence.
- Phenol contents of the solvent extracts were, without exception, greater than those found in the analogous juice (Fig. 2)suggesting that whole fruit consumption may yield a greater health benefit
- A much greater genetic regulation was apparent with regard to the total phenol content values with the majority of the values falling between those of the parents regardless of environment.
- The relationships (Fig 3) between the phenol content in the juice and the optimised extracts was direct (R<sup>2</sup> ~0.9 and 0.75 for the juice and optimise extracts, respectively), with the latter yielding the higher values
- The environmental effect on the phytochemistry of the cross progeny is significant since the segregation of the lines in the DIMS derived PCA plots for each environment (B and H field; Fig 4.1 and 5.1, respectively) appear different. However, closer examinations shows that the progeny are separated similarly according either score 1 or 2 but that with their actual position away from the zero point varies
- Despite the relatively different segregation of the lines with respect to all the metabolites the dominant ones, the polyphenols, display remarkably similar segregating patterns in the scores in both environments (Fig 4.2 & 5.2). The most obvious feature is that of the segregation between the cyanidin-3-sophoroside (A) and cyanidin-3-rutinoside (C) groups, which are cleanly segregated according to score 2

In summary the metabolic profiling approaches are high relevant

nutrition. If we are to nutritionally enhance plant-derived food in

conditions every opportunity must be taken to ensure that we

to the interface between plant breeding for food and human

an appreciable timescale and within the current economic

Conclusion

are capturing the optimum level of information regarding known and putative nutritionally relevant compounds. We have shown here, albeit briefly, that the technology is now within our grasp and that nutritional enhancement using standard breeding approaches is entirely feasible

200.0 250.0 300.0 350.0

The relationship between the phenol contents and the t capacities of of juices and optimised extracts derived fro aus segregating cross grown in two distinct environments

B field. The range of parental values is highlighted by the dashed box

upper limit of the parental phe

35.00

1500 (www.)) 25.00 25.00 15.00

## Acknowledgement

en thanks Bioforsk for supporting her contribution to this study. Drs Stewart and McDougall thank the Scottish Executive for supporting this work

#### References

Graham J. et al (2004) Theor Appl Genet. 109, 740-9; tylnikov SV et al (2005) J Agric Food Chem. 53, 7728-33; Stewart et al (2007) Mol Nutr. Food Res. 51, 645-651





H & B field





2 - The same score plot but interrogated on the ba

the ions dominating and creating this segregation A - cyanidin 3-sophoroside (m/z 611), B - m/z 287 (cyanidin), C

cyanidin 3-glucosylrutinoside (m/z 757), D - cyanidir

Irutinoside (m/z 741), G - quer loside (m/z 651), cont – mach

595), E – cyanidin 3-gluco

3-rutinoside (m/z 595) or pelargonidin 3-sophoroside (m/z

ide (m/z 449), F - pelargo

# SCN living technology

