Disease resistance mapping in spring barley

Newton, AC¹, Meyer, RC¹, Young, GR , Lawrence, PE , Russell, JR , Booth, A¹, Ramsay, L¹ Webster, RK², Steffenson, BJ³ & Thomas WTB ¹Scottish Crop Research Intstitute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, UK ²Department of Plant Pathology, University of California-Davis, Davis, CA USA ³Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN USA

Scottish Crop

Introduction

Scald (Rhynchosporium secalis) is difficult to control chemically. Apart from Doyen, there are currently no UK spring barleys recommended cultivars with good resistance. Spring barleys with major-gene resistance have failed to establish as their malting quality is not the best.

Major-gene resistance may only be a shortterm solution so durable resistance combined with the highest malting quality is required. Associations of reduced quantitative resistance with reduced height and the MIo mildew resistance have been observed

BLS







infection

resistance to the rice blast pathogen (Magnaporthe grisea), physiological spotting, and the post-anthesis spotting complex thought to be due to Ramularia collo-cygni.

We tested these associations using a

mapping population that segregated for the

mlo11 allele against a range of R. secalis

isolates in detached leaf tests, which we

compared with results from natural field

We also studied the same population for



Materials & Methods

The Derkado x B83-12/21/5 spring barley mapping population was grown in field nurseries and trials to collect scores of natural scald infection and post-anthesis leaf spotting, which we have termed "Ramularia-Like Spots" (RLS).

Clear physiological spotting (PSpot) was apparent in some trials and scored. The population was inoculated with isolate 96-1-27 of rice blast at the seedling stage and scored for infection (RBlast).

Detached leaves of the population were inoculated with different races of R. secalis and scored for lesion development. Principal components analysis was used to investigate the relationships between the variables.

A revised map incorporating 22 EST derived markers (Ctig, SNP and abc) and two genomic SSRs was used in QTL analysis.

Results



QTLs accounting for between 72 and 13% of the phenotypic variation were found on six of the seven barley chromosomes. The mlo locus was associated with each character apart from RhDNMn.

The dwarfing loci, sdw1 and ari-eGP had large effects upon RhDNMn and the other QTL (near HvLDEX on 7H) was co-located with a height QTL. There was no co-location of QTL loci for RhDLMn with RhDNMn. QTLs for RLS are not co-located with PSpot, apart from the region of mlo on 4H, suggesting independent control.

The mlo11 allele was associated with increased infection of RBlast, RLS and PSpot but decreased RhDLMn. Epistasis was detected for PSpot between the loci on 4H and 6H.

PSpot is clearly separated from the rest of the variables by PCO1 and is opposite to RhDNMn. The detached leaf scores are variable with different loadings on PCO2 and PCO3 but similar on PCO1.

RhDNMn is clearly separated from RhDLMn by PCO2 and the two measures of scald infection do not appear to be highly related. PSpot and RLS are separated by both PCO1 and PCO2 and do not appear to be related. RBlast does not appear to be highly related to any other character.



Conclusions

Field scores of apparent quantitative resistance to scald reflect escape rather than true resistance but there was no evidence for mlo11 increasing susceptibility to scald.

Detached Leaf tests can be used to identify potential quantitative resistance to scald but there was some co-location of resistance QTLs with increased physiological spotting.

Co-location of QTLs for increased RBlast and PSpot with the *mlo* locus is consistent with previous reports.

The epistatic interaction between the QTLs for PSpot on 4H and 6H that increases expression of symptoms, suggests that allelic variation at the locus on 6H can modify expression of the mlo QTL.

Acknowledgements

SCRI receives grant in aid from the Scottish **Executive Environmental and Rural Affairs** Department (SEERAD).

We thank Advanta Seeds UK for providing the scores on physiological spotting.