

Governing integration:
insights from integrating
European water policies
Kerry Waylen, Sophie Tindale,
Alba Juárez Bourke & Kirsty Blackstock



hutton.ac.uk/research/projects/ exploring-international-experiencesintegration

Kerry.Waylen@Hutton.ac.uk @KerryWaylen





The James **Hutton Institute**

UFZ Leipzig, 8th January 2019

Integration



- "Integration" often mentioned e.g. IWRM
- Common expectations
 - More effective avoid unintended consequences
 - More efficient multiple benefits for same cost
 - More democratic promotes wider participation

 Rather optimistic & generally imprecise about how to integrate and what will result

















Integration – water policies





- Policy integration- or coherence -similarly lauded
- EU policy priorities incl. integrated implementation of WFD & FD

e.g. Art 9 of FD, CIS 2019-21

Share reporting, CAs & management units, coordinate assessment, mapping, planning, measure selection & monitoring.

Tefficiency via win-win measures, especially NWRM

Integration – water policies





- Likely to be challenges
 - Literature on EPI and MLG
 - WFD & FD differ in scope & goals
- Scot Gov interest in learning about others' progress

So, if & how does policy integration play out?











Our methods



- 2016-7: Content analysis of RBMPs & FRMPs
 - 9 cases sets of plans from Czech Republic; Flanders;
 Rhine; Spain; Sweden; UK x4
 - Search for cross-references & linked topics
- 2017: Survey of CIS WG F



- Simple questions 19 returns
- 2018: 24 interviews, FD & WFD implementers
 - Central & regional, FD & WFD
 - Progress, Challenges, Examples
 - 4 cases: Flanders; Sweden; UK x 4



















Findings – Progress



 Little evidence in plans - vague, formulaic and/or brief statements

- "On the road"
 - Efforts being made e.g. 18 of 19 survey replies
 - 'Work in progress' some ahead of others, some taking different approaches





















Findings - Challenges



- Difficulties in data-sharing
- Uncertainties of NWRM
- Adds further complexity
- Lack resources connected to visions
- Interpersonal coordination & collaboration
- Clash of work cultures & disciplines
- Lack of 'integration metrics'
- Public and political expectations (esp. floods)























Findings – How to integrate



- Written sources- Consultations, SEA, cross-checks
- Interviews- Stronger emphasis on interpersonal collaboration, coordination and team-working
- Interconnected themes
 - provide national-level structure, guidance;
 - improve coordination across teams and levels;
 - share data and expertise;
 - enable local or catchment-level action and pilots.





















Implications & Reflections



Early stages but useful insights

- Gives some ideas about how to improve, e.g.
 - Don't settle for easy wins
 - Connect visions with resources
 - Value plans as boundary objects
 - Document and reflect on procedure & 'cultural' challenges

















Implications & Reflections



- Relation with other types of integration?
 - Integration with other policies
 - Sectoral integration, societal integration
 - ICM/IWRM

- Focus on local/catchment level appropriate?
 - Or, abnegates responsibility?





















Implications & Reflections



- Emphasis on interpersonal coordination,
 communication and partnership working
 - Will this be sufficient? Is that all there is?

- Worries about complexity, costs, etc do these experiences contradict expected benefits?
 - If so, why?... and why integrate?

Holistic joined-up thinking and action is the goal – policy integration is only a means to that end

Thank you



This research was funded by the Scottish Government Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Service's Strategic Research Programme 2016-2021.

We would like to thank all interviewees for their valuable insights and for their time.

For more information:

Kerry.Waylen@hutton.ac.uk



http://www.hutton.ac.uk/research/projects/ exploring-international-experiences-integration









